

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,797	09/16/2003	Lisa H. Neuberger	12587-038001 / 01476-00/U	3489
26161 7590 01/13/2909 FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022			EXAMINER	
			MANSFIELD, THOMAS L	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/664,797
 NEUBERGER ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 THOMAS MANSFIELD
 3824

THOMAS MANSFIELD 3624 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) THOMAS MANSFIELD, Examiner. (3)Scott Jarrett, Primary Examiner. (2) JeremyMonaldo, Applicants' Representative. (4)\_\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 16 December 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_ Claim(s) discussed: Claim 35. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiners Mansfield and Jarrett discussed with Applicants' Representative, Mr. Monaldo, suggestions to make proposed amendments to Claim 35 that may overcome the current cited prior art of record. Mr. Mansfield suggested reviewing a proposed amendment in order to move the instant application forward and no allowable subject matter was discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /Bradley B Bayat/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3624